Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/057,430	ELTAWIL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason M. Perilla	2611	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Jason M. Perilla</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Jon Christensen</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 30 March 2006	Time: <u>12PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 4, 6, 14, 16, 19, 22, 38, 39, 43, 45, 47, 48, 51, and 52			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's Amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
A . A			
ynst-			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	'Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – ir appropriate)	